

Sh Parveen Vij, (M-9217779864) #B-15, GF02, Nirmal Chayya Towers, VIP Road, Zirakpur, Distt Mohali-140603

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Tehsildar, Zirakpur, Distt Mohali

First Appellate Authority O/o ADC (Urban Development) Patiala

AC No. 5504 of 2021

.....Respondent

Present : (i) Sh. Parveen Vij, the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: None is present on behalf of the respondent

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.04.2022, vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was absent.

2. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

3. The respondent is absent today. He has sent his reply mentioning therein that the information demanded by the appellant is in question form.

4. After going through the RTI of the appellant , it is ascertained that the RTI application vide 11.01.2021, the appellant has sought information on 2 points is in shape of questions. It is further ascertained that the respondent has duly intimated the information seeker vide letter that the information sought by him is in the form of questions and therefore information cannot be provided.

Central Information Commission vide order dated 21.04.2006 in case no. CIC/AT/A/2006/00045 has decided that -

(The RTI Act does not cast on the public authority any obligation to answer queries, as in this case, in which a petitioner attempts to elicit answers to his questions with prefixes, such as, why, what, when and whether. The petitioner's right extends only to seeking information as



AC No. 5504 of 2021

defined in Section 2 (f) either by pinpointing the file, document, paper or record, etc., or by mentioning the type of information as may be available with the specified public authority.)

In wake of above the instant Appeal Case is hereby disposed of and closed.
Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 23.05.2022



Sh. Gurdev Singh, House No. 644, JLPL, Sector 90-91 Mohali (9815939273)

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o SDM, Mohali

First Appellate Authority O/o SDM, Mohali

.....Respondent

APPEAL CASE NO.1902 OF 2021

Present : (i) Sh. Gurdev Singh the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Smt. Amandeep Kaur, Suptd-cum –PIO (9815558459)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission.

2. The appellant states that he has received the information and is satisfied.

3. Since the appellant has received the information and is satisfied, hence no cause of action is left. Therefore the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 23.05.2022



Sh Runish Dhiman, Advocate #437, Sector 34A, Mandi Gobindgarh-147301 (9855722216)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o EO, MC, AMLOH Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib First Appellate Authority, <u>NOW</u>O/o ADC Urban Development Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib

.....Respondent

AC No. 3471 of 2021

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh. Barjinder Singh, EO-cum-PIO (9888518255)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission. During the last hearing the appellant filed a letter before the Commission that his appeal case filed in the Commission be treated as complaint case u/s 18(1) of the RTI act instead of appeal u/s 19(3).

2. Further, Legal opinion taken on his application, the same is as under:

"provisions of Section 18 as well as judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in CIC vs State of Manipur, 2012 (AIR) SC864 have been discussed and it has been brought on record that procedure under Section 19 of RTI Act when compared to Section 18 has several safeguards for protecting the interests of the person who has been refused the sought for information. It has also been mentioned in this opinion that there is no provision in Punjab RTI Rules to entertain complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act. In view of the above it has been concluded that the safer option is to disposed of the appeal cases on merit and not to treat as a complaint under Section 18 which is an extraordinary provision."

3. The appellant is absent today. He has sent an email in which he prayed that personal appearance of the applicant may please be exempted for 23.05.2022 and to provide some another date.



AC No. 3471 of 2021

4. The respondent states that he has already filed point-wise reply with a copy to the appellant in the Commission.

5. After examining the case file, it is observed that the respondent has filed point-wise reply which is as under:-

10	Office of the MUNICIPAL COUNC	IL AMLOH			
र्तह	ਦਫਤਰ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਅਮਲੋਹ (ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫ ਗਰ:-114	ਤਿਹਗੜ੍ਹ ਸਾਹਿਬ) ਮਿਤੀ : 25/1/2021			
र हिंधे					
	ਡਿਪਟੀ ਡਾਇਰੈਂਕਟਰ, ਅਰਬਨ ਲੋਕਲ ਬਾਡੀਜ਼, ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ।				
िंहसाः	ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਅਧੀਨ ਅਪੀਲ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ।				
ਂ ਲਾਡ	ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ: ਡਡਸਸ-ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਅ	ਸਈ–ਰੀਡਰ/2020/82			
	ਮਿਤੀ 7.01.2021 ਸਬੰਧੀ।				
ਹੀ ਮਾਨ ਜ					
ਮੱਦ	ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚ				
ਨੰਬਰ	ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ	ਵੇਰਵਾ			
	Complete information regarding he official website of Municipal Committee Amloh on which date and year the above said website was made/launched and which company designed the website and how much amount was paid by committee. If any tender or resolution was passed by committee for designing or launching such website please provide all documents in detail regarding official website of committee of Amloh	ਵੀ Official Website ਨਹੀ ਬਣਾਈ ਗਈ।			
	Please provide information regarding how many ime such website was updated by your committee and name the employee whose duty is to update the website.	ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਅਮਲੋਹ ਦੀ ਆਪਣੀ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ Official Website ਨਾ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਕੋਈ Updation ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ।			
	Please provide information regarding the total expenses spent by the M C Amloh for maintaining the website of Amloh till Today and also provide he copy of account	ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਅਮਲੋਹ ਵਲੋਂ ਆਪ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ Official Website ਨਹੀ ਬਣਾਈ ਗਈ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਸਤੇ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਖਰਚਾ ਨਹੀ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ।			
fu	5 maz 5	ਮੀਟ ਕਾਰਜ ਸਾਧਕ ਅਫਸਰ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਅਮਲੋਹ ਮਿਤੀ 25/1/2021			
ĝ.	ਸ਼ਤ ਦਾ ਉਤਾਰਾ । ਰੁਨੀਸ਼ ਧੀਮਾਨ ਐਡਵੋਕੇਟ #437, ਸੈਕਟਰ-3ਏ,, ਮੰਡੀ ਗੋਇ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ।	ਦਿੰਗੜ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਹਿੱਤ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਕਾਰਜ ਸਾਧਕ ਅਫਸਰ ਨਗਰ ਕੋਂਸ਼ਲ, ਅਮਲੇਹ			



AC No. 3471 of 2021

6. In view of the above reply filed by the respondent appears to be convincing. *Copy of the same be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders.* In view of the above no further cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties. *Copy of the reply be sent to the appellant alongwith the orders.*

Sd/-

Dated: 23.05.2022

Sh. Ujjagar Singh, S/o Late Sh. Bant Singh R/o Street No. 1, Maan Colony, Daba Ludhiana – 141014 (9878961815)

.....Appellant

.....Respondent

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o ADGP(Crime), Punjab Police Headquarters Sector 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority, O/o ADGP (Crime), Punjab Police Headquarters Sector 9, Chandigarh

Registered post Public Information officer O/o SSP Barnala

AC No. 3290 of 2021

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh. Jatinder Singh, Constable (7973938116)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission. During the first hearing i.e. 13.09.2021, the appellant appeared before the Commission through Video Conference facility and stated that no information had been given to him so far and the respondent stated that the information is lying with the PIO, o/o SSP, Barnala , Hence PIO, o/o SSP, Barnala was impleaded as respondent no. 2. Accordingly, the appeal was adjourned on 10.11.2021. On 10.11.2021, the appellant was absent and the respondent, O/o SSP, Barnala stated that the information has been sent to the appellant. He had also submitted copy of the information in the Commission. On the next date of hearing i.e. 17.12.2021, again the appellant was not present and copy of deficiencies as sent by the appellant was handed over to the respondent. Further the respondent had gone through





AC No. 3290 of 2021

the same and stated that the information pertaining to deficiencies had been sent to the appellant. On that date the respondent was also directed to file an affidavit that the information that has been supplied is true, complete and no other information relating to this RTI application is available in the record.

2. Today again the appellant is absent.

3. As per directed in the last hearing, the respondent has brought an affidavit ,which is as under:-

	OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPDT. C BARNALA	OF POLICE	(ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਸ਼ਾਖਾ)	ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਸੀਨੀਅਰਕਪਤਾਨਪੁਲਿਸ. ਬਰਨਾਲਾ।	
	ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਰਾਜ	<u>ਜ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ</u> ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ	ਹੈੱਡ ਕਰਾਸ ਕਟਨ	ਨੇਤੇ ਰੋਜ ਗਾਰਡਨ ਸੈਕਟਰ 16	
	ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਕਮਿਸਨ ਰੈੱਡ ਕਰਾਸ ਭਵਨ ਨੋੜੇ ਰੋਜ ਗਾਰਡਨ ਸੈਕਟਰ 16 ਚੰਡੀਗੜ ਪੰਜਾਬ				
	<u>ਅਪੀਲ ਨੰਬਰ 3290 ਆਫ 2021 ਵਾੱਲੋਂ ਉਜਾਗਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਲੋਟ ਬੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ</u> ਵਾਸੀ ਮਾਨ ਕਲੋਨੀ ਵਾਬਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ। ਬਨਾਮ				
	ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ ਜਨਰਲ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ।				
			ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ		
	ਮੈ ਸੰਦੀਪ ਕੁ	ਮਾਰ ਮਲਿਕ, ਆਈ.ਪ	ੀ.ਐਸ. ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ	ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਰਨਾਲਾ-ਕਮ-ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ	
	ਅਫਸਰ, ਅਪਾਲ ਕਸ	ਨੰਬਰ 3290 ਆਫ 202	। ਵੱਲੋਂ ਉਜਾਗਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੱਜ	ਯ ਲੋਟ ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਮਾਨ ਕਲੋਨੀ ਢਾਬਾ	
	ਾਪਆਣਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੋਏ	ਹੁਕਮ ਮਿਤੀ 06/04/20)22 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸੀ ਜਤਿੰਦਰਪਾ	ਲ ਸਿੰਘ ਮੀ ਮੀ ਐਸ ਉਮ ਕਮਤਾਨ ਮੁਲਿਸ	
	ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੋਏ ਹੁਕਮ ਮਿਤੀ 06/04/2022 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਜਤਿੰਦਰਪਾਲ ਸਿੰਘ, ਪੀ.ਪੀ.ਐਸ ਉਪ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਸਬ ਡਵੀਜਨ ਮਹਿਲ ਕਲਾਂ ਵਾਲੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰ: 119/P ਮਿਤੀ 01/02/2019 ਵਿੱਚ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹਿਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਉਣ				
17	ਉਪਰੰਤ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਹਲਫੀ	ਆ ਬਿਆਨ ਦੇ ਆਧਾਰ	ਪਰ ਨਿਮਨ ਲਿਖਤ ਹਲਫ f	ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ:-	
				ਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਰਨਾਲਾ ਤਾਇਨਾਤ ਹਾਂ।	
Harba Sim Notary Pub Dieft Barn Holl Josef Regni B27	ਇੰਨਵੈਸਟੀਗੇ 06/09/2021 ਸੀ।ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱ 28/11/2020 ਪ੍ਰਾਰਬੀ ਵਾਲੋਂ ਪਰਤਾਲ ਉੱ ਤੋਂ ਦੋਹਾ ਦਰਗ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰ	ਸਨ, ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਰਾਹੀ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਮੱ 'ਚ ਪ੍ਰਾਗਬੀ ਵਾਲੇ RPO ਪਰ ਹੋਈ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਦੀ ਉਕਤ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚ 1 ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਸਬ ਕਾਸਤਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸਾ ਇੱਕ ਬਾਰੀ 1939-ਪੀ, ਮਿਤੀ : ਸਟਰੀ ਡਾਕ ਰਾਹੀ ਮਿਤ	ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਐਕਟ ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 6(3) ਅਧੀਨ 5733109 ਮਿਰੀ 12/10/2020 ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ। ਨਾ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਦਰਖ਼ਾਸਤ ਭਵੀਜਨ ਮਹਿਲਕਲਾਂ ਵਾਲੇ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਮਲਟੀਪਲ ਇੰਨ 8=11-2020 ਵੀ ਨਾਲ ਲਵ	ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ, ਬਿਉਰੋ ਆਫ ਨੱਬਰ 604/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ/ਸੀ.ਸੀ. ਮਿਤੀ 5 ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਤਬਦੀਲ ਹੋ ਕੇ ਮੈਸੂਲ ਹੋਈ 0 ਰਾਹੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰਬਰ 1939/ਪੀ. ਮਿਤੀ 5 ਨੰਬਰੀ 119/ਪੀ. ਮਿਤੀ 01/02/2019 ਦੀ ਅਮਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਆਦੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ. ਪੜਤਾਲ ਕੁਆਰੀਆ ਨੂੰ ਧਿਆਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਾਖਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ. ਪ੍ਰਾਰਬੀ ਵਾਲੇ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਜਾ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ।ਜੋ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਬੀ ਨੂੰ	
				0-2021 ਨੂੰ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਡਾਕ ਰਾਹੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ	
				ਾਸਤ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਘੋਖ ਲਿਆ ਹੈ।	
	ਮੁਤਾਬਿਕ ਚਿ	(NOIS	tant he/she has acc	(문급), 남왕당), 문민주, 활정) ਨਹੀ ਹੈ। to the deponente score conted it true & correct	
	ਸਿਤੀ 2 1 MAY 2 ਸਥਾਨ :	COLT. OF I		ਸੰਦੀਪ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਸੀਲਿਓ(195) -ਕਮ-ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ. ਬਰਨਾਲਾ। - • ਦ	
	ਮੋਰੇ ਇਲਮ ਤੇ ਯਕੀਨ	ਮਤਾਬਿਕ ਪੈਰਾ ਨੰਬਰ ।	ਤੋਂ ਲੈ ਕੇ 4 ਤੱਕ ਸਹੀ ਬਾ ਦ The Contents of the	ਰ੍ਸੱਤ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਵਿ"ਚ ਸੋ ਕੋਈ ਗਾਲ s affidavit/Documen ts	
	ਲੁਕਾ ਛੁਪਾ ਕੇ ਨਹੀ ਚਾੱ	ਜੀ ਹੈ।	nas been read over t	noted if the social strengt	
	freft: 2 1 MAY	2022 NOTA	RY PUBLIC BARNALA	ਸੰਦੀਪ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਮਲਿਕਸਿੱਤ) -ਕਮ-ਲੋਕ ਸੁਰਨਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਬਰਨਾਲਾ। -3	
		21		100.01 - 1 CE>	



AC No. 3290 of 2021

4. Since, the orders of the Commission has been complied with, hence no cause of action is left, Therefore the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 23.05.2022



Sh.Denesh Kumar Syal, SE(Retd.) House No. 248, Sector 17, Panchkula - 134107

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o EO, MC , Zirakpur

First Appellate Authority O/o ADC Urban Development Patiala

.....Respondent

APPEAL CASE NO. 5716 OF 2021

Present : (i) Sh. Gopal Krishan Nayyar on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh.Nirdosh Sharma, B.I. –cum-APIO (9915955511) and Sh. Gulshan Mehta. Advocate (9876468637)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order passed by the Commission.

2. Sh. Gopal Krishan Nayyar is appearing on behalf of the appellant today before the Commission.

3. The respondent states that complete information has been given to the appellant. He has also filed an affidavit mentioning therein that the *information available with the deponent has already been supplied to the appellant and no information with respect to the RTI application of the appellant is withheld by the deponent, thus the direction issued by the Hon'ble Commission is complied with.*"

Original affidavit is handed over to the representative of the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record. Sh. Gopal Krishan Nayyar has gone through the same and states that he is satisfied with the same.



APPEAL CASE NO. 5716 OF 2021

4. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 23.05.2022



Sh. Jaswinder Singh, S/o Sh. Makhan Singh R/o Village Ojhanwali, Tehsil and Distt. Fazilka

.....Appellant

.....Respondent

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Fazilka

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Fazilka

AC No. 3895 of 2021

Present : None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present. Today again neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. The respondent has sent his reply that the information, as exists, in the record has been sent to the appellant. Since, the appellant is absent for third consecutive hearing, hence it is presumed that the appellant is satisfied with the information provided by the respondent.

2. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 23.05.2022



Sh. Rajinder Kumar Gupta, # 33, New Vikas Nagar Baltana, Zirakpur (8288855289)

Public Information Officer, O/o Rural Development and Panchayat Department Sector 62, Mohali

First Appellate Authority O/o Rural Development and Panchayat Department Sector 62, MohaliAppellant

.....Respondent

AC No. 3896 of 2021

Present : (i) Sh. Rajinder Kumar Gupta the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh. Sukhpreet Singh, Sr. Assistant (9876608363)

..Vs

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission.

2. Today the appellant states that he has received the information and is satisfied.

3. The respondent states that the information, as exists, in the record has already been provided to the appellant.

4. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 23.05.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u> Helpline No. 0172-2864100	THE PSIC USSIL
Sh. Sarabjeet Singh Gill, Whistle Blower (M-9888490031)	
H.No 60-35-P-376-1, Street No.8,	
Maha Singh Nagar, PO Dhandari Kalan	
Ludhiana-141003	Appellant
Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
O/o EO, MC, Gobindgarh, Distt Fatehgarh Sahib	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o EO, MC, Gobindgarh, Distt Fatehgarh Sahib	

.....Respondent

AC No.2462 of 2021

Present : None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission.

2. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present.

3. As per direction in the last hearing, the respondent has sent an affidavit which is as under:-



AC No.2462 of 2021

MANNADER STATISTICS ADVISOR AD				
ਬਿਆਨ ਹਲਫੀਆ				
ਮੈ ਕੁਲਬੀਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਬਰਾੜ,ਕਾਰਜਸਾਧਕ ਅਫਸਰ,ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਗੋਬਿੰਦਗੜ੍ਹ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ.				
ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ ਨੰ:2462 ਆਫ 2021 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ:-				
ਊ ਇਹ ਕਿ:- ਉਲੜੀ ਨੰ:1:- ਅਣ-ਅਥੋਰਾਈਜ਼ਡ ਕਲੋਨੀਆਂ ਦੀ ਲਿਸਟ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ।				
ਨਿਸਟ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ। ਅਣ-ਅਥੋਰਾਈਜ਼ਡ ਕਲੋਨੀਆਂ ਦੀ ਲਿਸਟ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ।				
ੂਲੜੀ ਨੰ:2:- ਲਿਸਟ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਜ਼ ਹਨ।				
ਨਿਸ਼ੀ ਨੰ:3:- ਲੜੀ ਨੰ: । ਨਾਲ ਲਿਸਟ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ।				
ਨੂੰ ਲੜੀ ਨੰ:4:- ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਜਾਰੀ ਨਹੀ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ।				
ੁਲੜੀ ਨੰ:5:- ਇਸ ਐਕਟ ਅਧੀਨ ਕੋਈ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ।				
ਲੜੀ ਨੰ:6:- ਲੜੀ ਨੰ: 5 ਅਨੁਸਾਬ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਿਸ BHAGWATIAL Dist. Marth Salid Dist. Marth Salid Figure ਤਸਦੀਕ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਇੱਕਤ ਤੱਥ ਸਹੀ ਵਾ ਦਰੁਸਤ ਹਨ। ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਗੱਲ				
ਛੁਪਾਈ ਨਹੀਂ ਗਈ ਹੈ। Certified the SpaceAlLess deed Agreement and Standy Bond, Compromise Contract, been frequer & explained to the doporent execution who seemed and understand the same at the time marking there of.				
116 MAY 2022 ATTESTED AS IDENTIFIED NOTAFY PUBLIC MANDI GOBINDGARH Distt. Fateboach Sabib. Punjab (India				

4. Since, the order of the Commission has been complied with, no cause of action is left, therefore the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated: 23.05.2022